

# **GREAT SHIPS INITIATIVE (GSI)**

## TECHNICAL SYSTEMS AUDIT CHECKLIST FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING INTAKE

**Purpose/Scope of Audit:** 

GSI Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDTE) Facility Technical Systems

**Audit** 

**Brief Description of Audit:** 

Audit of sample labeling, collection, transport, and analysis at the GSI RDTE Facility

during performance evaluation of the Siemens SiCURE Ballast Water Management System. Quality system documentation will be reviewed. A procedural audit will be

conducted to verify that the technical aspects of this project are being performed according to GSI standard operating procedures.

Auditee:

Audit Location:

Auditors:

Audit Dates:

GSI scientists

RDTE Facility (Superior, WI)

Kelsey R. Prihoda, GSI Assistant Quality Assurance Manager and Sample: 10:23am

3rd Sample: 10:23am

SAMPLE BOTTLE LABELING, SAMPLE COLLECTION, AND SAMPLE TRANSPORT TO UWS

SAMPLE TEST ID: 09-SI-2 (ALSO INCLUDES IN-TANK MONITORING SAMPLE FROM 09-SI-1)

Relevant GSI SOPs:

- GSI/SOP/G/RA/SC/3 Procedure for Labeling Samples Collected at the GSI Land-Based RDTE Facility in Vevision
- GSI/SOP/LB/G/O/5 Procedure for Injecting Organisms and Solids into the GSI Land-Based RDTE Facility
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/3 Procedure for Algae/Small Protozoa Sample Collection
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/4 Procedure for Microbial Sample Collection
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/6 Procedure for Zooplankton Sample Collection
- GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SC/3 Procedure for Collecting Physical/Chemical Data and Samples at the GSI Land-Based RDTE Facility DRAFT

Sample Collection Type	Sample	Tub	Sample Type	Correc	eled tly & In ate?	Folk	ected owing Ps?	A contract of	oorted UWS?
(Code)	Port/Point	Number	(Collected By)	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N
Before Fill (BF)	Pond		Phytoplankton (Euan)						
perore rill (BF)	Polid		Zooplankton (Heidi Schaeffer)						
Before Fill (BF)	SP2	3	Harbor Phytoplankton (Don/AMI)						
Belote Fill (BF)	382	3	Harbor Zooplankton (Don/AMI)						
Control Tub (C)	SP2	1	TRC and TRO (Heidi Schaeffer)			V	-		

Page 1 of 7 Initial/Date UNP/10-26-09

Sample	:			Labe			ected	The second secon	
Collection					tly & In		wing	Transp	
Туре	Sample	Tub	Sample Type	Cra	te?	s SO	Ps?	Back to	UWS?
(Code)	Port/Point	Number	(Collected By)	Υ	N	Υ	N	ı <b>y</b>	N
<u> </u>			Chem. Bucket				2		1
			(Don)	· /					
			Phytoplankton			/	/		
			(Euan)	V	·	V			
			Zooplankton			-			
			(Don)						
			TRC and TRO	<b>-</b>		<del>                                     </del>		_	
				1					
			(Heidi Schaeffer)					_	
		•	Chem. Bucket						
			(Don)					_	
			Phytoplankton						
Pre-Treatment			(Euan)						
Tub	SP3	4	Zooplankton						
(PT)			(Don)						
			Microbe Rep. 1						1- 1-1
			(Heidi Schaeffer)					Hey	di Sail fo
			Microbe Rep. 2			1		1	
			(Heidi Schaeffer)						
			Microbe Rep. 3	V				·/	
			(Heidi Schaeffer)			V			
			TSS, POC, DOC	/					
			Rep. 1 – 10 min.			V		1	
_			(Heidi Schaeffer)	<b>b</b>					
Pre-Treatment			TSS, POC, DOC	X /					
In-Line	SP3		Rep. 2 – 30 min. Y	1				1/	1 1
(PT)			(Heidi Schaeffer)			11:21 0	m	Heichi Sa	illavel
			TSS, POC, DOC			, <i>&gt;</i>			
			Rep. 3 – 50 min.			11111			
			(Heidi Schaeffer)			11:4/a	M	HEICH!	aulaur
			TRC and TRO						t
			Rep. 1 – Beginning	V		1/1			
			(Lana)			9:450	us		u
			TRC and TRO	/					
	Line to		Rep. 2 – Middle			11:22			
Filter	Backwash		(Lana)			11:23a		<i></i>	
Backwash	Storage		TRC and TRO			see pg. 3	-		
(BW <b>)</b>	Tank		Rep. 3- End	1/					
			(Lana)		<u> </u>	11:45		1	
			Disinfection	1				./	
			Byproducts Rep. 1	× /		11:32 a	m	Ton	1 Mark
			Disinfection	./					
			Byproducts Rep. 2	V /		11:320	m	~	
Post-	SP15	6							
rust"	2L T2	U	Phytoplankton	1					

ORE YUZ 8-27-09

Page 2 of 7 Initial/Date WP/10-26-09

Sample Collection Type	Sample	Tub	Sample Type	Labe Correct Cra	ly & In	Follo	ected owing Ps?		ported b UWS?
(Code)	Port/Point	Number	(Collected By)	Υ	N	Υ	N	Y	N
Treatment Tub (T)			Zooplankton						
			Cold Water Bioassay					V	
			Chem. Bucket	V		V			
			Microbe Rep. 1					Hlui	u soul lavol
			Microbe Rep. 2	<b>V</b>		V			
			Microbe Rep. 3	1					
			Disinfection Byproducts Rep. 1		/			To	un Markeo
			Disinfection Byproducts Rep. 2	W					
			TRC and TRO	V					
			TSS, POC, DOC Rep. 1 – ~10 min. (Lana)			9:420	w	Neidi	Saillard
			TSS, POC, DOC Rep. 2 – ~30 min. (Lana)			11:021-8	isa 7		
			TSS, POC, DOC Rep. 3 – ~50 min. (Lana)	سا		11:41 a			
Post- Treatment In-			2 TSS, POC, DOC * Rep. 3 - ~50 min. Duplicate (Lana)						
Line (T)	TAP		₩ TRC and TRO Rep. 1 – ~10 min.	V		9:430			
			(Lana) TRC and TRO Rep. 1 ~10 min.	W	<u> </u>	11:420			
			Duplicate (Lana)  ** TRC and TRO  Rep. 2 - ~30 min.	1/		11.44			
			(Lana) <b>d</b> AATRC and TRO			11.21an	n-pq.7 has defai	, c	
			Rep. 3 – ~50 min. (Lana)			11:40a	121		
Treatment Tank 1	Mid-Depth		TRC Monitoring – Day 1		.*	12:40	m		

\*WO DUP measured on PT LIVE

Page 3 of 7

At TRC and TRO will be collected from Siemen's PT Tap, which Initial/Date in inside the Siemens chipping confainer. This is where the UP/10-26-09 Siemen's staff samples from and will allow us to compare our measurements.

# SAMPLE ANALYSIS

# SAMPLE TEST ID: 09-SI-2

# **QUALITY SYSTEM DOCUMENTATION**

	AUDIT QUESTIONS		RESPO	NSE	COMMENTS	
		Y	N	NA		
1.	Is there an approved Quality Assurance Project Plan for the overall project and has it been reviewed by all appropriate personnel?			,	The anti for the has been review warly all GSI in not finalized	
2.	Is a copy of the current approved QA Project Plan maintained near laboratory work station areas?					
3.	Is the implementation of the project in accordance with the QA Project Plan?					
4.	Are there deviations from the QA Project Plan? Explain.					
5.	Do any deviations from the QA Project Plan affect data quality?					
6.	Are sample handling and storage procedures in accordance with the QA Project Plan?					
7.	Are written and approved current standard operating procedures (SOPs) used in the project? If so, list them and note whether they are maintained near laboratory work station areas?				All SOB used of collection of an intake are li document. The several draft	
8.	Are data/observations appropriately recorded in laboratory notebooks/forms according to the QA Project Plan (i.e., entries in ink, dated, initialed, corrections done properly)? Are data contained in bound, well-labeled notebooks or three-ring binders?				not been appr those are incl	
9.	Do supervisory and/or QA personnel inspect laboratory notebooks/forms on a regular basis and initial notebook after review?					
10.	Are paper records written in indelible ink?	-				

### **CHEMISTRY**

### Relevant GSI SOPs:

- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/2 Procedure for Determining Total Residual Oxidants (TRO) in Water
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/3 Procedures for Measuring Organic Carbon in Aqueous Samples
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/6 Procedure for Analyzing Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) Concentrations in Water
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/C/8 Procedure for Analyzing Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

		R	ESPO	NSE	
	AUDIT QUESTIONS	Ý	N	NA	COMMENTS
1.	Describe the analytical instrumentation. List the brand and model number for each instrument.	~	_		see audit fi 26 Aug. 09, s equipment u
2.	Are calibration and maintenance logs kept for the instrumentation (e.g., balances and other equipment)?				equiprocut u
3.	Review the maintenance and operational records for the equipment. Based on your findings, do all instruments/equipment appear to be in good operating condition?				
4.	Are the manufacturer's operating manuals readily available to the instrumentation operators?				
5.	Describe the routine calibration procedure.	V			See andit fr 26 Aug.09, sa
6.	Does the calibration documentation show that the calibration procedures are being followed?	/		:	calibration in wing data sh
7.	Do the calibration standards have the appropriate levels (i.e., bracket the samples to be measured)?			-	
8.	What is the instrumentation calibration error according to the calibration documentation?				
9.	Are duplicate samples collected and analyses conducted on at least 10% of the physical/chemical samples?	/	-		1 bup. collected P3 for Wa. Thus. collected
10.	Are reagent blank samples analyzed with each set of samples?	V	/		PT-Tapfor CM.
11.	Are a minimum of three and preferably more standards required for standard curves?	/			5
12.	When applicable, do routine procedures that require standard curves bracket concentrations?	~			
13.	When applicable, have analytical method detection limits been established and clearly documented?  Iitional Questions or Comments: odate was used to make standawd curve HeV				

MICROBIOLOGY EMP reviewed datasheets 26 Oct. 2009 Data entry proofed tyDJ Relevant GSI SOPS: 05 Oct. 2009. EMP verified "10° 10 fill sample calculations 26 Oct. 09.

- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/MA/1 Procedure for Quantifying Heterotrophic Plate Counts (HPCs) using IDEXX's SimPlate® for **HPC** Method
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/MA/3 Procedure for the Detection and Enumeration of Enterococcus using Enterolert™
- GSI/SOP/BS/RA/MA/4 Procedure for the Detection and Enumeration of Total Coliforms and E. coli using IDEXX's Colilert®

	R	ESPO	NSE			
AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N	NA	COMMENTS		
<ol> <li>Are duplicate sample analyses conducted on at least 10% of the microbiology samples?</li> </ol>	/	1		6 Dyps 124 and 25°10 duplice		
<ol><li>Are at least 10% of the samples counted by a second qualified individual (i.e., QA count)?</li></ol>		/		no QU counts were conclucte		
3. Are reagent blank samples analyzed with each set of samples? (************************************	~					
4. When applicable, have analytical method detection limits been established and clearly documented?	~					

were filled out for Trial & fill, .. time of reciept, analysis, and counts are not \*\*Samples for heterotrophic bacteria were not newtralized upon reciept in lab.
Bacteria present in sample were exposed to active substance for null hours.
The post-treatment HPC data is not valid, and should not be used to make any decisions about biological affectiveness of the system.

#### **PHYTOPLANKTON**

Relevant GSI SOPS: Reviewed dutas hucts 190ctober 2009. WWP

GSI/SOP/LB/RA/SA/1 - Procedure for Algae/Small Protozoan Sample Analysis

	R	ESPO	NSE		
AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N	NA	COMMENTS	
<ol> <li>Were all data, observations, and comments appropriately recorded on the "Ballast Water Plankton Count Sheet"?</li> </ol>	exo	ptiòv	15-7	no initials of "sample analyzed Enon needs to add initials in the first	by
2. Was sample assessment conducted within ~1-1.5 hours after sample collection?	V				
3. Were at least 10% of the samples counted by a second analyst (i.e., QA count)?		~		There is no Incl phy taxonomist to do a On sample.	ita.

\* We will ned to collect more phytoplankton in the future to ensure we have enough 1-dayold cells to inject.

Page 6 of 7 Initial/Date WP/10-26-09

Additional Questions or Comm	nents:	

#### ZOOPLANKTON

Relevant GSI SOPs:

	R	ESPO	NSE	
AUDIT QUESTIONS	Υ	N.	NA	COMMENTS
<ol> <li>Were all data, observations, and comments appropriately recorded on the "Zooplankton Identification Worksheet"?</li> </ol>	Tub	4-no	time	And on "Docu ion of Live Z Worksheet
<ol><li>Was sample assessment conducted within ~2 hours after sample collection?</li></ol>	V			Samples reciel 13:00. Crustaga af 113:45. Rotife
3. Were at least 10% of the samples counted by a second analyst (i.e., QA count)?	b	~		We need to a in a QUI count to be done 1x if Less tha
Additional Questions or Comments:				in less that samples u analyzed
8x 105		.*		analyseo
26×105 Harbor Sample				)

From Draft SOP: GSI ISOPILBIRMISA 12 - If a trial has use than 10 samples, at least I sample from each trial should be analyzed in duplicate: from trial 2 taxonomists will need to analyze at samples on discharge.

Additional Questions and Comments on Technical Systems Audit:

mg: 47 am: Fill was interrupted due to technical issues inside the control room. Treatment and control tanks were 20% full. Chemistry samples had been collected at "9:43 am, but tub sample was lost because valves did not close althornation therefore, "20% of biology sample was lost and "80% will be analyzed. Tubs were cleaned out and tanks began filling again at 11:00 am. The second set of chemistry/ water quality samples were collected at 11:20

Page 7 of 7 Initial/Date

control sonde deployed 8/28/09 at 10:04 this is 22 hours to after c2 was filled. The same happened in T2. The DO probe was not calibrated for either sonde: the so data is not accurate.